

Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force Meeting

John Kirlin

Sacramento

September 18-19, 2008

Context

- Delta Vision Committee met September 4. Chair Isenberg reported on progress on strategic plan.
- Secretary Chrisman setting format and times for DV Committee meetings to meet their responsibilities under EO S-17-06
- No progress on a water bond
- Other processes:
 - SWRCB starting discussion of San Joaquin River flow objectives (9.17 and 11.5)
 - BDCP ongoing with steering committee and work groups
 - EIR/S preparations underway

Administrative matters

- Meeting tomorrow again lengthened by beginning at 8:30 AM
- Final work products being received (e.g., OAG letter, agenda # 4; infrastructure, SWP financing and analyses of large CVP contractors, “other”)
- Responses from agencies received (e.g., CVRWQCB on water quality, “other”)
- Assembling “boilerplate” materials for recommended strategic plan, e.g.:
 - DV process report
 - Compilations of all participants in varied roles

Three possible action items for Friday

- Receive fourth staff draft strategic plan and provide direction to staff for fifth staff draft for October
- Any letters and requests from the Task Force
- Other action items

Thursday agenda

- Panel: state agency administrators
- Legal and legislative updates
- Panel: Stakeholder Coordination Group representatives
- Staff strategic plan:
 - Staff draft # 4 and thoughts re staff draft # 5
 - Comments received
- Public comment

Friday agenda

- Opening and overview
- Task Force discussion (staff and consultants available to respond to questions)
- Task Force discussion and action items
 - Structure and format of strategic plan
 - Substantive guidance for staff draft # 5
 - Any letters and/or requests from the Task Force
 - Other
- Public comment

Issues re moving staff draft # 4 to # 5

- Recognition of change in staff draft # 4
- Affirm the basic structure or refine
- Any guidance re three substantive areas that continue to receive most comments:
 - Governance
 - Water rights
 - Delta
- Any other guidance, e.g., re specific strategies or action items
- “Style” question to consider: *Detail required to serve as a strategic plan vs. that required to serve as an interim action plan*