

-----Original Message-----

From: Ryan, John@CALFED
Sent: Monday, July 21, 2008 3:59 PM
To: Context, DeltaVision@CALFED
Subject: Review of the Delta Vision Strategic Plan - Second Staff Draft
Importance: High

Good afternoon,

During my review of the initial draft, I provided the task force with comments relative to the strategic plan. After reviewing the second draft, many of those suggestions remain valid and still apply. Establishing the new Governance and Finance structure is crucial to the success of Delta Vision, but it is only the "tip of the iceberg". Of the utmost importance is to ensure that the right leadership, staff, tools, and processes are in place and that continuity and excellent working relationships are developed amongst the new and existing entities. During the Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force meeting held last week, Lester Snow got the attention of the task force when he said the "best plan in the world will fail without the right governance structure being in place". The comments that I provided after the first draft support Mr. Snow's view along with some details to consider in moving forward.

Also, attached are a few minor suggestions for the Governance and Finance Performance Measures matrix. I think the measures are fine and make sense for a governance body. However, the first measure reads like a double negative and comes across awkward to the reader, so I am suggesting a change to it. Additionally, keep in mind that the administrative measures are properly set at a very high level but hopefully with the understanding that a tremendous work load will need to be considered before applying realistic dates.

I wish that I could spend more time on this but I do need to focus on my real CALFED job:-) Thanks for the opportunity to provide input and good luck!!

Performance target schedule

Governance Program Measures	2020	2040	2060
Length of time to reverse before negative trends identified as harmful to the in ecosystem, water, and Delta as a place performance measures are reversed	Years	1 year	Months
Number of federal and state court actions addressing the co-equal values	<10	0	0
Percentage of water diversions in the Delta watershed covered by SCADA monitoring or other accurate reporting system	>80%	>90%	>95%
Number of preemptive or corrective actions on agency decisions taken each year by the CDEW Council to ensure consistency with CDEW Plan.			
Percentage of adaptive management actions recommended by CDEW Science Program that are implemented in a timely manner			
Percentage of recommendations by Public Advisory Group that are considered by the CDEW Council in a timely manner			
Percentage of required state and federal permits for ecosystem and water system management obtained in a timely manner			
Percentage of financial investments in Delta ecosystem enhancement that are not consistent with CDEW Plan			
Percentage of financial investments in water infrastructure and regional self-sufficiency programs that are not consistent with CDEW Plan			
Percentage of financial investments in Delta levees and highways that are not consistent with CDEW Plan			
Percentage of required Delta water user fees collected in a timely manner			
Number of times that state funding for local investments is withheld due to non-compliance with CDEW Plan			
Percentage of CDEW Council documents and meeting minutes posted online in a timely manner			
Administrative Measures deadlines			
Passage of statute creating proposed governance structure system by _____			
Completion of California Delta Ecosystem and Water Plan by _____			
First official meeting of California Delta Ecosystem and Water Council by _____			
First water year fully managed by proposed new governance structure			